

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATT  JiYang Yan	ORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.  DP-300317 6788
O9/730,508  12/05/2000  7590  O3/12/2003  DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  Vincent A. Cichosz 1450 West Long Lake Troy, MI 48007		EXAMINER  ILDEBRANDO, CHRISTINA A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1725  TE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. YAN ET AL. 09/730,508 Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner 1725 Christina Ildebrando . --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] \_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_ no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ы 🖾 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \( \sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-33.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 19 presents new combination of elements changing the scope of the claims and their dependents, requiring further consideration and/or search. New claims 36-42 present additional requirements such a that the zeolite has a sodium content of less than 0.1wt% which has not been considered previously, requiring further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth on the record in the final office action. With regards to the 103 rejections in view of Noda et al., applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion to modify the Abe et al. reference. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Noda et al. discloses advantages to using particular layer thicknesses and noble metal loading and establishes both as result effective variable, thereby providing one of ordinary skill motivation to optimize the amounts taught by Abe et al..

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**